

# The Lattice of Flats and its Underlying Flag Matroid Polytope

Alexandre V. Borovik  
Department of Mathematics  
UMIST  
PO Box 88  
Manchester M60 1QD  
United Kingdom

Israel Gelfand  
Department of Mathematics  
Rutgers University  
New Brunswick NJ 08903  
USA

Andrew Vince  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611  
USA

Neil White\*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611  
USA

September 26, 1996

**Abstract.** Let  $M$  be a matroid. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the collection of all linear orderings of bases of  $M$ , or *flags* of  $M$ . We define the flag matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . We determine when two vertices of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  are adjacent, and provide a bijection between maximal chains in the lattice of flats of  $M$  and certain maximal faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ .

*Subject Classification:* 05B35, 05E15, 20F55, 52B40.

*Keywords:* matroid, Coxeter matroid, flag matroid, flag matroid polytope.

---

\*Supported in part by NSA grant MDA904-95-1-1056

# 1. Introduction.

An appealing aspect of matroid theory is the multiple approaches to the subject. A matroid can be defined in terms of independent sets, bases, circuits, closure, rank, lattice of flats, etc. In this paper we concentrate on a less familiar characterization, but one deserving of more attention. This is the approach by way of the matroid polytope, whose definition and properties are given in Section 2. The matroid polytope plays a special role in the subject of Coxeter matroids [BGW2]. The results in this paper are an example of how the machinery of Coxeter matroids can motivate new insights about ordinary matroids. For basic definitions and notation concerning matroids see [O, W].

The main concepts in this paper are a matroid  $M$ , its underlying flag matroid  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is defined in Section 3, and the respective matroid and flag matroid polytopes  $\Delta(M)$  and  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  (called its *bases*) are ordered bases of the matroid  $M$ . Thus, if the rank of  $M$  is  $k$ , then every basis in  $M$  gives rise to  $k!$  bases in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the way the polytopes are constructed, the vertices of  $\Delta(M)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the bases of  $M$  and the vertices of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the bases in  $\mathcal{F}$ . In this setting,

*if two vertices  $\delta_F$  and  $\delta_G$  of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  are connected by an edge then the two corresponding bases  $F$  and  $G$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are either reorderings of the same basis in  $M$  or span the same flag of flats in  $M$ .*

Thus two different aspects of the matroid  $M$ , its bases and its flats are both encoded and interrelated in the adjacency graph of the convex polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ .

The main results concerning this relationship between the lattice of flats of  $M$  and the underlying flag matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  are contained in Section 4. In particular, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the lattice of flats of  $M$ , ordered by inclusion. Theorem 4 gives a bijection between the maximal flags in  $\mathcal{L}$  and certain maximal faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . From this bijection,  $\mathcal{L}$  can be retrieved from  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . This result implies (Corollary 2) the existence of a covering map from  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  onto  $\Delta(M)$ .

## 2. The Matroid Polytope.

Let  $M$  be a matroid of rank  $k$  on the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the collection of bases of  $M$ . One of the many equivalent definitions of a matroid is that, for any two distinct bases  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and any element  $a \in A \setminus B$ , there is an element  $b \in B \setminus A$  such that the set  $A \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that this definition implies that all bases of  $M$  have the same cardinality. The basis axiom is often referred to as the *exchange property*. We say that the basis  $A \setminus \{a\} \cup \{b\}$  is obtained from  $A$  by an *elementary exchange*  $(a, b)$ .

Construct the matroid polytope of  $M$  as follows. Let  $\mathbf{R}^n$  be  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with the canonical orthonormal basis  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ . For any  $k$ -element subset  $B$  of  $[n]$ , set

$$\delta_B = \sum_{i \in B} \epsilon_i. \tag{1}$$

Let  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  be the convex hull of the points  $\delta_B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of bases of a matroid  $M$ , denote  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  by  $\Delta(M)$ , called the *matroid polytope* of  $M$ . Notice that  $\Delta(M)$  lies in the hyperplane  $\sum x_i = k$ , which will be denoted  $\Pi$ . In the matroid theory literature, the term matroid polytope usually refers to the convex hull of the points  $\delta_I$  for all independent sets  $I$  of  $M$ . Our matroid polytope is the face of the classical matroid polytope supported by  $\Pi$ .

The following central theorem concerning the matroid polytope is originally due to Gelfand, Goresky, MacPherson and Serganova [GGMS] and was subsequently generalized by Gelfand and Serganova [GS]; see also Borovik and Vince [SVZ].

**Theorem 1** *A set  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $[n]$  of the same cardinality are the bases of a matroid if and only if each edge of  $\Delta(\mathcal{B})$  is parallel to  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ . Moreover, in the matroid case, vertices of  $\Delta(M)$  are adjacent if and only if the corresponding bases of  $M$  can be obtained from each other by an elementary exchange.*

Because of this result, matroid polytope can be taken as a fundamental matroid concept, like basis, independent set, circuit, etc. Consider the faces of dimension  $k - 1$  in the  $(n - 1)$ -simplex  $\Delta$  and let  $\Delta'$  be the convex hull of the set of barycenters of some set of such faces. Call  $\Delta'$  a *matroid polytope* (of rank  $k$ ) if each edge of  $\Delta'$  is parallel to  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ . This gives another equivalent definition of matroid.

### 3. Underlying Flag Matroid.

A *flag*  $F$  in  $[n]$  is a strictly increasing sequence  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_m$  of finite subsets of  $[n]$ . Denote by  $k_i$  the cardinality of the set  $A_i$ ; the  $m$ -tuple  $(k_1, \dots, k_m)$  will be called the *rank* of  $F$ . A flag will be denoted by  $F = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ , and the set  $A_i$  will be called the  $i$ -th *component* of the flag  $F$ .

Let  $M$  and  $M'$  matroids on the same set  $[n]$ . We say that  $M'$  is a *quotient* of  $M$  if every circuit of  $M$  is a union of circuits of  $M'$ . This is synonymous with the phrase that the identity map on  $[n]$  is a strong map from  $M$  to  $M'$ . There are many equivalent characterizations of quotients or strong maps, see [W], Prop. 7.4.7 and Chapter 8. Every truncation of a matroid  $M$  is a quotient of  $M$ , where, if  $k \leq \text{rank}(M)$ , the truncation of  $M$  to rank  $k$  is the matroid whose bases are all independent sets of  $M$  of cardinality  $k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of flags of the same rank  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *flag matroid* if for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  the  $i$ -th components of flags in  $\mathcal{F}$  form a matroid  $M_i$  of rank  $k_i$ , called the  $i$ -th *component matroid* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and, furthermore,  $M_i$  is a quotient of  $M_{i+1}$  for all  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Note that a matroid is a special case of a flag matroid.

A polytope can be assigned to a set  $\mathcal{F}$  of flags of the same rank by generalizing the construction in Section 2. If  $F = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $c_i$  denote the number of components of  $F$  to which element  $i$  belongs and set

$$\delta_F = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \epsilon_i. \quad (2)$$

Note that formula (1) is the special case of formula (2) when the flag has just one component. Let  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  be the convex hull of the points  $\delta_F$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a flag matroid, then  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  is called the *matroid polytope* of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

There is an equivalent way to understand the flag matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . Consider the set of all flags of  $[n]$ . This is an abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta$  whose faces are the flags in  $[n]$ . Combinatorially, this complex is isomorphic to the barycentric subdivision of the regular  $(n - 1)$ -simplex. Using this geometric realization of  $\Delta$ , construct  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  as follows. Identify each flag  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with the barycenter  $\delta_F$  of the corresponding face of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  is the convex hull of  $\{\delta_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

Theorem 1 of the previous section has the following generalization which is a special case of the Gelfand-Serganova Theorem [GS].

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of flags of the same rank. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a flag matroid if and only if each edge of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  is parallel to  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ .*

Given a matroid  $M$  of rank  $k$  on  $[n]$ , there is a particular flag matroid associated with  $M$  that is important in the context of this paper. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of bases of  $M$ . If  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  is a basis in  $\mathcal{B}$  written in some order, then consider the flag of independent sets

$$\{b_1\} \subset \{b_1, b_2\} \subset \dots \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_k\},$$

which will be denoted simply  $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  be the set of all flags obtained from all orderings of bases of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  is a flag matroid, since the lower component matroids are just truncations of  $M$ , and we will call  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  the *underlying flag matroid* of  $M$ .

A loop in  $M$  is an element of  $[n]$  that is dependent; in other words, a non-loop is an element that belongs to at least one basis.

**Theorem 3** *If  $M$  is a matroid with  $p$  non-loops and  $\mathcal{F}$  is its underlying flag matroid, then  $\dim \Delta(\mathcal{F}) = p - 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $P \subseteq [n]$  be the set of non-loops of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and let  $U = \text{affine span}(\{e_i \mid i \in P\})$ . With the  $\delta_F$ 's appropriately scaled, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq U$ . Suppose  $H$  is a hyperplane of  $U$  which contains  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $j \in P$  then there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $j \in B$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_B$  denote the set of flags of independent sets obtained from all orderings of  $B$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{F}_B \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $h, i \in B$ . Then we may construct flags  $F = [h, i, \dots]$  and  $G = [i, h, \dots]$ , where both are obtained from orderings of  $B$ , and these two flags are equal after the first two entries. Then  $\delta_F - \delta_G$  is a scalar multiple of  $e_h - e_i$ , which is a vector parallel to  $H$ . By adding appropriate scalar multiples of  $e_j - e_i$  for various  $i$  to  $\delta_G$ , say, we find that  $e_j \in H$ . Since this is true for all  $j \in P$ , no such  $H$  exists, and it follows that  $\dim \Delta(\mathcal{F}) = \dim U = p - 1$ .  $\square$

## 4. The Lattice of Flats of a Matroid.

Let  $M$  be a rank  $k$  matroid on  $[n]$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  its lattice of flats, ordered by inclusion. The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is a geometric lattice (semimodular, point lattice)

and is ranked, the rank of a flat being the cardinality of any basis of that flat. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all *maximal flags of flats* or maximal chains in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $F = F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k$  is such a maximal flag of flats, then the flat  $F_i$  has a basis  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_i\}$ , each such basis obtained from the previous by extension:

$$\{b_1\} \subset \{b_1, b_2\} \subset \dots \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}.$$

This flag of independent sets has already been denoted  $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ . Conversely, for any such flag of independent sets  $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ , the closures

$$\overline{\{b_1\}} \subset \overline{\{b_1, b_2\}} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}}$$

form a maximal flag of flats of  $M$ . This flag of flats is referred to as the flag of flats *spanned* by the flag of independent sets  $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ . Of course, a given flag of flats can be spanned by many different flags of independent sets.

Let  $M$  be a matroid,  $\mathcal{F}$  the underlying flag matroid of  $M$ , and  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  the matroid polytope of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By the *graph of a polytope* is meant the 1-skeleton, consisting of the vertices and edges. Recall that each vertex of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  corresponds to a flag of independent sets  $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on the set of vertices of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  by declaring  $u \sim v$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in the graph of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  and the *unordered* bases associated with  $u$  and  $v$  are distinct; then take the transitive closure of this relation. Let  $\Sigma(M)$  denote the set of convex hulls in  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  of the equivalence classes.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $M$  be a matroid and  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all maximal flags in its lattice of flats. With the notation as above,*

- (1) *The elements of  $\Sigma(M)$  are faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  of dimension  $p - k$ , where  $p$  is the number of non-loops in  $M$ .*
- (2) *There is a bijection  $\Phi$  between  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\Sigma(M)$  defined as follows. If  $F \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{F}$  denotes the set of all flags of independent sets that span  $F$ , then  $\Phi(F) = \Delta(\overline{F})$ .*

Before giving the proof, we describe how the lattice of flats  $\mathcal{L}$  can be reconstructed from the underlying flag matroid polytope. The two examples that follow are illustrative. For a matroid  $M$  of rank  $k$  on the set  $[n]$  with underlying flag matroid  $\mathcal{F}$ , consider the underlying flag matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  with each vertex labeled by the appropriate flag of independent sets.

Then the set of faces  $\Sigma(M)$  is determined. Define an equivalence relation on the set of  $j^{\text{th}}$  components of the set of all flags of independent sets of  $M$ , for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Declare two such  $j$ -subsets *equivalent* if these  $j^{\text{th}}$  components appear at vertices in the same element of  $\Sigma(M)$ ; then take the transitive closure. Let  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  denote the set of equivalence classes. Put an order on  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  by declaring that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if there are representatives  $A \in \alpha$  and  $B \in \beta$  such that  $A \subseteq B$ .

**Corollary 1** *With notation as above,  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is a lattice isomorphic to the lattice of flats of  $M$ .*

**Example 1.** Consider the rank 2 matroid  $M$  on the set  $[4]$  with bases  $\mathcal{B} = \{12, 13, 24, 34\}$ . Then the matroid polytope  $\Delta(M)$  of  $M$  is a square inscribed in the tetrahedron whose vertices are labelled 1, 2, 3, 4 (see Figure 1a). The matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  of the underlying flag matroid  $\mathcal{F}$  has eight vertices. The convex hull of these vertices is combinatorially equivalent to a cube, but has two rectangular faces and four trapezoidal faces (see Figure 1b). The set of faces in  $\Sigma(M)$  are the rectangular faces, which correspond to two maximal flags of flats spanned by the flags of independent sets  $[12]$  and  $[21]$ . The equivalence classes that comprise the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  are

**Rank 0.**  $\emptyset$

**Rank 1.**  $\{1, 4\}$  and  $\{2, 3\}$

**Rank 2.**  $\{12, 13, 24, 34\}$

The Hasse diagram of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is shown in Figure 1c.

**Example 2.** Again with  $M$  a rank 2 matroid on  $[4]$ , take  $\mathcal{B}$  to consist of all 2-element sets in  $[4]$  with the exception of 23. Then  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ , the underlying flag matroid polytope has two hexagonal, two trapezoidal, two triangular and one rectangular face (see Figure 2a). The faces of  $\Sigma(M)$  are the two triangles, corresponding to the flags of flats spanned by  $[12]$  and  $[41]$ , and the rectangular face, corresponding to the flag of flats spanned by  $[21]$ . The equivalence classes that comprise the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  are

**Rank 0.**  $\emptyset$




Figure 1: Matroid Polytope, Underlying Flag Matroid Polytope, and Lattice of Flats




Figure 2: Underlying Flag Matroid Polytope and Lattice of Flats

**Rank 1.**  $\{1\}, \{4\}$  and  $\{2, 3\}$

**Rank 2.**  $\{12, 13, 14, 24, 34\}$

The Hasse diagram of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is shown in Figure 2b.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** An outline of the proof is as follows. We will show that

- (I) if  $A$  and  $B$  are two different bases in  $\mathcal{B}$ , the collection of bases of the matroid  $M$ , and  $[A] = [a_1, \dots, a_k]$  and  $[B] = [b_1, \dots, b_k]$  two corresponding flags of independent sets in the underlying flag matroid  $\mathcal{F}$  and if the vertices  $\delta_{[A]}$  and  $\delta_{[B]}$  of the matroid polytope  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  of the flag matroid  $\mathcal{F}$  are adjacent in  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ , then the flags of independent sets  $F$  and  $G$  span the same flag of flats of the matroid  $M$ , and

- (II) if  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\Delta(\overline{F})$  is a face of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ .

By statement (II), the mapping  $F \mapsto \Delta(\overline{F})$  defines a function from  $\mathcal{M}$  to certain faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ .

Since two flags of flats spanned by different orderings of the same basis must be distinct, and each face is a polytope whose graph is connected,

statement (I) implies that these certain faces are exactly the elements of  $\Sigma(M)$  and  $\Phi$  is injective. Since every flag of flats is spanned by some flag of independent sets,  $\Phi$  is surjective. Next we prove statements (I) and (II); the statement about dimension is considered at the end.

*Proof of Statement (I).* Let  $[A] = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k]$  and  $[B] = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k]$ , with  $A \neq B$ . By the definition in Section 3,  $\delta_{[A]} = \sum_i (k+1-i)\epsilon_{a_i}$  and  $\delta_{[B]} = \sum_i (k+1-i)\epsilon_{b_i}$ . Let  $L$  be a linear functional which attains its maximum on  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  on the edge  $\delta_{[A]}\delta_{[B]}$ . Since  $L$  is linear, it is determined by its values on the basis elements  $\epsilon_i, i \in [n]$ . For simplicity of notation, we will abbreviate  $L(\epsilon_i)$  by  $L(i)$ . Since  $A \neq B$  implies that  $[A]$  and  $[B]$  are not reorderings of each other, all reorderings of  $[A]$  or  $[B]$  must give strictly smaller  $L$ -value. It follows that  $L(a_1) > L(a_2) > \dots > L(a_k)$  and  $L(b_1) > L(b_2) > \dots > L(b_k)$ .

Since  $A \neq B$ , there exists  $a_j \in A \setminus B$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i < j$ . By symmetric matroid basis exchange, there exists  $b_l \in B \setminus A, l \geq j$ , such that  $A' := (A \setminus \{a_j\}) \cup \{b_l\}, B' := (B \setminus \{b_l\}) \cup \{a_j\} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $[A']$  and  $[B']$  be the flags obtained from  $[A]$  and  $[B]$  by interchanging  $a_j$  and  $b_l$ , but otherwise retaining the ordering of  $[A]$  and  $[B]$ , and let  $[A'']$  and  $[B'']$  be obtained from  $[A']$  and  $[B']$  by reordering the elements according to descending  $L$ -values. Then

$$L(\delta_{[A'']}) \geq L(\delta_{[A']}) = L(\delta_{[A]}) + (k+1-j)(L(b_l) - L(a_j)),$$

$$L(\delta_{[B'']}) \geq L(\delta_{[B']}) = L(\delta_{[B]}) + (k+1-l)(L(a_j) - L(b_l));$$

both cannot be strictly less than  $L(\delta_{[A]}) = L(\delta_{[B]})$ . It follows that  $A' = B$  and  $B' = A$ , and that  $L(a_j) = L(b_l)$ .

Suppose  $l > j$ . Then  $L(a_j) = L(b_l) < L(b_j)$ , hence  $b_j \notin A$ . This contradicts  $B' = A$ , and since we already had  $l \geq j$ , we now have  $l = j$ .

Now, suppose that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, b_j\}$  is independent in the matroid  $M$ . Then, for some  $m, \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_k\} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $L(b_j) > L(a_m)$ , we have a contradiction to the maximality of  $L(\delta_{[A]})$ . Therefore  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, b_j\}$  is dependent in the matroid. On the other hand,  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, b_j\} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j\} \subseteq B$  is independent. From elementary matroid theory, it follows that  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j\}$  have the same closure, and consequently so do  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$  for all  $m$ . Thus  $[A]$  and  $[B]$  span the same flag of flats of the matroid.

*Proof of statement (II).* Let  $F = F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k$  be a maximal flag of flats of  $M$  and  $\overline{F}$  the set of flags of bases that span  $F$ . Denote by  $P_1$  the

set of all non-loop elements of  $[n]$  in  $F_1$  and by  $P_j, j = 2, \dots, k$ , the sets of all elements in  $F_j \setminus F_{j-1}$ . Denote by  $L_i$  the linear functional on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which takes value 1 on  $\epsilon_j$  for  $j \in P_i$  and 0 on other basis vectors  $\epsilon_j$ . If  $[B] = [i_1, \dots, i_k]$  is an ordered basis in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the corresponding vertex of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  has the form

$$\delta_{[B]} = k\epsilon_{i_1} + (k-1)\epsilon_{i_2} + \dots + 2\epsilon_{i_{k-1}} + 1\epsilon_{i_k}.$$

Notice that at most one of the elements  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  belongs to  $P_1$ . For this reason the maximal value of the functional  $L_1$  is reached only at those vertices  $\delta_{[B]}$  in  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  which satisfy  $i_1 \in P_1$ ; this maximal value is  $k$ . If  $\Delta_1$  is the convex hull of all such vertices, then  $\Delta_1$  is a face of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . Consider a vertex  $\delta_{[B]}$  in  $\Delta_1$ . Notice again that at most one element in  $B$  belongs to  $P_2$ , which means that the maximum of the functional  $L_2$  restricted to  $\Delta_1$  is reached at only those vertices  $\delta_{[B]}$  of  $\Delta_1$  which satisfy  $i_2 \in P_2$ . Denote by  $\Delta_2$  the convex hull of all these vertices; then  $\Delta_2$  is a face of  $\Delta_1$  and hence of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ . Continuing this process, we find that the face of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  obtained by maximizing the sequence of functionals  $L_1, \dots, L_k$ , is exactly the convex hull of all vertices  $\delta_{[B]}$  with  $[B] = [i_1, \dots, i_k]$  satisfying  $i_j \in P_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , i.e. the polytope  $\Delta(\overline{F})$ .

Concerning the statement about dimension, let  $p$  be the number of non-loops of the matroid  $M$ . Notice that, given a flag of flats  $F_1 < \dots < F_k$ , the set of all flags of independent sets in  $\overline{F}$  spanning this flag of flats has the natural structure of the direct sum of the uniform rank 1 matroids on the sets  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ , and for this reason the statement about dimension  $p - k$  follows from Corollary 15 in [BGW2].  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a matroid on the set  $[n]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  its underlying flag matroid, and  $\Delta(M)$  and  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  the respective matroid and flag matroid polytopes. If  $[a_1, \dots, a_k]$  is a flag of independent sets, then  $[a_1, \dots, a_k] \mapsto \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  defines a map from the set of vertices of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  to the set of vertices of  $\Delta(M)$ . This map can be extended to a map  $\phi$  from the lattice of faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  to the lattice of faces of  $\Delta(M)$ . The last result gives several properties of this covering map  $\phi$ . Two polytopes are *combinatorially isomorphic* if the respective lattices of faces are isomorphic.

**Corollary 2** *With  $M$  a rank  $k$  matroid and with notation as above, the map  $\phi$  from the lattice of faces of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  to the lattice of faces of  $\Delta(M)$  has the following properties.*

1. Each vertex of  $\Delta(M)$  is the image of  $k!$  vertices of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$ .
2. The map  $\phi$  takes each face in  $\Sigma(M)$  to a parallel face of the same dimension of  $\Delta(M)$ .
3. Corresponding edges of  $\Gamma$  and  $\phi(\Gamma)$  are parallel for  $\Gamma \in \Sigma(M)$ .
4. The faces  $\Gamma$  and  $\phi(\Gamma)$  are combinatorially isomorphic for  $\Gamma \in \Sigma(M)$ .

**Proof.** Statement (1) is clear since there are  $k!$  permutations of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ . Concerning statements (2) and (3), let  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  be the vertices of a face  $\Gamma$  in  $\Sigma(M)$ , that is, the flags of independent sets spanning a given flag of flats  $F = F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_k$  of  $M$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  be the corresponding (unordered) bases of  $M$ . All the bases  $A_1, \dots, A_l$  are distinct since two different orderings of the same basis produce distinct flags of flats. Hence  $\Gamma$  and  $\phi(\Gamma)$  have the same number of vertices. Denote by  $P_1$  the set of all non-loop elements of  $[n]$  in  $F_1$  and by  $P_j, j = 2, \dots, k$ , the sets of all elements in  $F_j \setminus F_{j-1}$ . Denote by  $L_i$  the linear functional on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which takes value 1 on  $\epsilon_j$  for  $j \in P_i$  and 0 on other basis vectors  $\epsilon_j$ . This is the same sequence of linear functionals used in the proof of the second part of Theorem 4. On  $\Delta(M)$ , using the same argument as in that proof, the sequence of functionals  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  reach their maximum at the vertices  $\delta_{A_1}, \dots, \delta_{A_l}$ , and therefore the convex hull of these vertices is a face  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Delta(M)$  parallel to  $\Gamma$ . Now use formulas (1) and (2) in Sections 2 and 3 and the fact that the edges of  $\Delta(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\Delta(M)$  are parallel to  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$  for some  $i$  and  $j$  (Gelfand-Serganova Theorem [GS]) to conclude that the edges of  $\Gamma$  are parallel to the corresponding edges of  $\Gamma'$ . Moreover, the dimension of  $\Gamma$  is equal to the dimension of  $\Gamma'$ . This is because the dimension of either polytope equals the dimension of the vector space spanned by the vectors  $\overline{uv}$  corresponding to all pairs of adjacent vertices  $u, v$  in the polytope.

Concerning statement (4), let  $\Delta$  be the  $n$ -simplex with vertices at the origin and at the points  $\epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . The construction using the linear functionals  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  implies that  $\Gamma$  is the intersection of  $\Delta$  with an affine subspace  $U$  parallel to some face of  $\Delta$ . The same is true of  $\Gamma'$ , for an affine subspace  $U'$  parallel to the same face of  $\Delta$ . This implies that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are combinatorially isomorphic, since the fact that the faces  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  have the same number of vertices precludes any degeneracy.  $\square$

There are open questions concerning the covering map in Corollary 2. Assume, for simplicity, that the matroid  $M$  has no loops or coloops (loops in the dual matroid). Then, in view of Corollary 2, the maximal flags of flats in  $M$  are represented by certain faces of  $\Delta(M)$  of dimension  $n - k$ , those in the image  $\phi(\Sigma(M))$ . Let us call these faces *flag* faces. It is clear from formula (1) of Section 2 that the matroid polytope  $\Delta(M^*)$  of the dual matroid  $M^*$  is similar to  $\Delta(M)$ . Therefore the maximal flags of flats in the dual matroid  $M^*$  can be represented by certain faces of  $\Delta(M)$  of dimension  $k$  (called *coflag* faces). Every vertex of  $\Delta(M)$  belongs to  $k!$  flag faces and  $(n - k)!$  coflag faces (with repetition, since several distinct flags can be represented by the same face), and every edge of  $\Delta(M)$  belongs to at least one flag and one coflag face. It would be interesting to find a combinatorial criteria for recognizing the flag and coflag faces of  $\Delta(M)$ , and to study other properties of the mosaic of flag and coflag faces on  $\Delta(M)$ . For example we have the following result.

**Corollary 3** *Each flag face of a matroid polytope is combinatorially isomorphic to the product of  $k$  simplices (of various dimensions).*

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be the flag of flats in  $M$  corresponding to a flag face  $\Gamma'$  of the matroid polytope and let  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  be as in the proof of Corollary 2. Then the set of all ordered bases spanning  $F$  (corresponding to the set of vertices of  $\Gamma'$ ) has the natural matroid structure of the direct product of the uniform rank 1 matroids on the sets  $P_1, \dots, P_k$ . Hence the vertices of  $\Gamma'$  are represented by the set of all  $k$ -sets  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  where  $a_i \in P_i$ . By Theorem 1, two vertices of  $\Gamma'$  are adjacent if and only if they can be obtained from each other by an elementary exchange. This translates to the fact that two vertices  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of  $\Gamma'$  are adjacent if and only if  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i$  except one. This means that the graph of  $\Gamma'$  is the direct product of the graphs of simplices of dimensions  $|P_1| - 1, \dots, |P_k| - 1$ . However, such a direct product of simplices is a simple polytope, and hence its combinatorial structure is completely determined by its graph [BM].  $\square$

## References

- [BM] R. Blind and P. Mani-Levitska, *On puzzles and polytope isomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., 34 (1987) 287–297.
- [BGW1] A. Borovik, I. M. Gelfand and N. White, *Exchange properties for Coxeter matroids and oriented matroids*, submitted.
- [BGW2] A. Borovik, I. M. Gelfand and N. White, *Coxeter matroid polytopes*, to appear, Annals of Combinatorics.
- [BR] A Borovik and K. S. Roberts, *Coxeter groups and matroids*, in **Groups of Lie Type and their Geometries**, W. M. Kantor and L. Di Martino, eds., Cambridge University press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 13–34.
- [SVZ] V. V. Serganova, A. Vince and A. Zelevinsky, *A geometric characterization of Coxeter matroids*, to appear, Annals of Combinatorics.
- [GGMS] I. M. Gelfand, M. Goresky, R. D. MacPherson and V. V. Serganova, *Combinatorial Geometries, convex polyhedra, and Schubert cells*, Adv. Math. **63** (1987) 301–316.
- [GS] I. M. Gelfand and V. V. Serganova, *Combinatorial geometries and torus strata on homogeneous compact manifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys 42 (1987) 133–168; see also I. M. Gelfand, **Collected Papers**, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, New York a.o., 1989, pp. 926–958.
- [O] J. G. Oxley, **Matroid Theory**, Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [W] N. White, ed., **Theory of Matroids**, Cambridge University Press, 1986.