

Some Topics from the Structure Theory of Banach Spaces with Emphasis on Examples which are related to Set Theory and Logic

Thomas Schlumprecht

Texas A&M University

Gainesville, May 5, 2007

Overview

Bases of Banach spaces

Ramsey's Theorem and Applications

Distortion

Universality Problems and the Effros Borel space of Separable Banach spaces

Introduction

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e. X is a vector space over \mathbb{R} (in our case), and

$\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0 \quad \& \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete (Cauchy sequences converge)

Introduction

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e. X is a vector space over \mathbb{R} (in our case), and

$\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0 \quad \& \quad \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete (Cauchy sequences converge)

A sequence (x_n) in X is called **Schauder basis** or simply **basis of X** , if for every $x \in X$ there is a unique sequence $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n.$$

Assume (x_n) is a basis of X .

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the n -th canonical projection is the map

$$P_n : X \rightarrow X, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i x_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i.$$

Note:

- $\dim(P_n(X)) = n$,
- $P_m \circ P_n = P_{\min(m,n)}$
- $P_n(x) \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x \in X$.

Uniform boundedness of the P_n 's: For fixed $x \in X$, say

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x),$$

Uniform boundedness of the P_n 's: For fixed $x \in X$, say

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x),$$

and, thus

$$\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n(x)\| < \infty.$$

Uniform boundedness of the P_n 's: For fixed $x \in X$, say

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x),$$

and, thus

$$\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n(x)\| < \infty.$$

We can therefore use the **Uniform Boundedness Principle** to get

$$C = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in X, x \in \text{Ball}_X} \|P_n(x)\| < \infty.$$

Uniform boundedness of the P_n 's: For fixed $x \in X$, say

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x),$$

and, thus

$$|||x||| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n(x)\| < \infty.$$

We can therefore use the **Uniform Boundedness Principle** to get

$$C = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{x \in X, x \in \text{Ball}_X} \|P_n(x)\| < \infty.$$

C is called **Basis constant of (x_n)** and $||| \cdot |||$ is an equivalent norm on X :

$$\|x\| \leq |||x||| \leq C\|x\|.$$

Conversely: Assume that X is a separable Banach space and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are projections $P_n : X \rightarrow X$ so that

- $\dim(P_n(X)) = n$,
- $P_m \circ P_n = P_{\min(m,n)}$
- $P_n(x) \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x \in X$.
- $C = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n\| < \infty$

Conversely: Assume that X is a separable Banach space and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are projections $P_n : X \rightarrow X$ so that

- $\dim(P_n(X)) = n$,
- $P_m \circ P_n = P_{\min(m,n)}$
- $P_n(x) \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x \in X$.
- $C = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n\| < \infty$

If we choose $x_n \in P_n(X) \cap \text{Ker}(P_{n-1})$, $x_n \neq 0$, (with $P_0 = 0$). Then (x_n) is a basis of X .

Coordinate functionals:

Let X be a space with basis (x_n) the n -th coordinate functional is the map

$$x_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum a_j x_j \mapsto a_n,$$

Coordinate functionals:

Let X be a space with basis (x_n) the n -th coordinate functional is the map

$$x_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum a_j x_j \mapsto a_n,$$

Since

$$x_n^*(x)x_n = P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x),$$

Coordinate functionals:

Let X be a space with basis (x_n) the n -th coordinate functional is the map

$$x_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum a_j x_j \mapsto a_n,$$

Since

$$x_n^*(x) x_n = P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x),$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \cdot \|x_n^*\| \leq 2C.$$

Coordinate functionals:

Let X be a space with basis (x_n) the n -th coordinate functional is the map

$$x_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum a_j x_j \mapsto a_n,$$

Since

$$x_n^*(x)x_n = P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x),$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \cdot \|x_n^*\| \leq 2C.$$

or

$$\|x_n^*\| = \sup_{x \in \text{Ball}(X)} \frac{\|P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x)\|}{\|x_n\|} \leq \frac{2C}{\|x_n\|},$$

Coordinate functionals:

Let X be a space with basis (x_n) the n -th coordinate functional is the map

$$x_n^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum a_i x_i \mapsto a_n,$$

Since

$$x_n^*(x)x_n = P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x),$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\| \cdot \|x_n^*\| \leq 2C.$$

or

$$\|x_n^*\| = \sup_{x \in \text{Ball}(X)} \frac{\|P_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x)\|}{\|x_n\|} \leq \frac{2C}{\|x_n\|},$$

Using the coordinate functionals we can write every $x \in X$ as

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i^*(x)x_i.$$

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Every element $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ can be identified with the sequence of its coordinate (a_i) .

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Every element $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ can be identified with the sequence of its coordinate (a_i) .

$$c_{00} := \{(a_i) : \text{supp}(a_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Every element $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ can be identified with the sequence of its coordinate (a_i) .

$$c_{00} := \{(a_i) : \text{supp}(a_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Let (e_i) be the usual vector space basis of c_{00} , i.e.

$$e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{n\text{-th}}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Every element $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ can be identified with the sequence of its coordinate (a_i) .

$$c_{00} := \{(a_i) : \text{supp}(a_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Let (e_i) be the usual vector space basis of c_{00} , i.e.

$$e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{n\text{-th}}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

Identify x_n with $\|x_n\| \cdot e_n$. X is then the space of sequences $(\xi_i) = (a_i \|x_i\|)$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i e_i$ converges in X .

Let X be a Banach space with basis (x_i) .

Every element $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ can be identified with the sequence of its coordinate (a_i) .

$$c_{00} := \{(a_i) : \text{supp}(a_i) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Let (e_i) be the usual vector space basis of c_{00} , i.e.

$$e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{n\text{-th}}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

Identify x_n with $\|x_n\| \cdot e_n$. X is then the space of sequences $(\xi_i) = (a_i \|x_i\|)$

$$\text{so that } \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i e_i \text{ converges in } X.$$

Thus X is the completion of c_{00} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ with $\|e_i\| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Often we define a separable Banach space, by defining a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on c_{00} , so that there is a constant C (usually $C = 1$) so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ and all $m \leq n$.

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|.$$

Often we define a separable Banach space, by defining a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on c_{00} , so that there is a constant C (usually $C = 1$) so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ and all $m \leq n$.

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|.$$

In this case **the completion of c_{00} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$** , i.e. the vector space X of all sequences (a_j) for which

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i : n \in \mathbb{N} \right)$$

is Cauchy, is a Banach space and c_{00} is dense in X .

Often we define a separable Banach space, by defining a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on c_{00} , so that there is a constant C (usually $C = 1$) so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ and all $m \leq n$.

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|.$$

In this case **the completion of c_{00} with respect to $\|\cdot\|$** , i.e. the vector space X of all sequences (a_i) for which

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i : n \in \mathbb{N} \right)$$

is Cauchy, is a Banach space and c_{00} is dense in X .

Moreover, if $\|e_i\| = 1$ (or more generally $0 < a < \|e_i\| < b$), X is a subset of the space of all sequences converging to 0.

Examples

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\ell_p = \left\{ (\xi_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\xi_i|^p < \infty \right\} \text{ with } \|(\xi_i)\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\xi_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

(e_i) is basis of ℓ_p (“Usual unit vector basis of ℓ_p ”).

Examples

- For $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\ell_p = \left\{ (\xi_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\xi_i|^p < \infty \right\} \text{ with } \|(\xi_i)\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\xi_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

(e_i) is basis of ℓ_p (“Usual unit vector basis of ℓ_p ”).

- Similarly

$$c_0 = \left\{ (\xi_i) : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi_i = 0 \right\} \text{ with } \|(\xi_i)\|_{\infty} = \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\xi_i|.$$

- For a separable probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$L_p(\mu) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}$$

Choose sequence (A_n) of measurable sets with positive measure so that

1. $A_1 = \Omega$,
2. for $n > 0$ and all $m < n$, either $A_n \subset A_m$ and $A_m \setminus A_n$ also has positive measure, or $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$.
3. $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates \mathcal{M}

Let $\mathcal{M}_n = \sigma(A_1, \dots, A_n)$, and $P_n(f) = \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{M}_n)$.

Choose $h_n \in P_n(L_p(\mu) \cap \text{Ker}(P_{n-1}))$, $\|h_n\| = 1$.

(h_n) is called **Haar basis of L_p** .

Existence of Bases

Enflo 1973: Not every separable Banach X space has a basis.

Existence of Bases

Enflo 1973: Not every separable Banach X space has a basis.

Ovsepian & Pełczyński 1975: Every separable Banach space X admits a bounded, total, biorthogonal system

$$(e_i, e_i^*) \subset X \times X^*,$$

- $\overline{\text{span}(e_i : i \in \mathbb{N})} = X,$
- $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{(i,j)},$
- $\forall x \in X (\forall i \in \mathbb{N} e_i^*(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0),$
- $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_i^*\| \cdot \|e_i\| < \infty.$

Existence of Bases

Enflo 1973: Not every separable Banach X space has a basis.

Ovsepian & Pełczyński 1975: Every separable Banach space X admits a bounded, total, biorthogonal system

$$(e_i, e_i^*) \subset X \times X^*,$$

- $\overline{\text{span}(e_i : i \in \mathbb{N})} = X,$
- $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{(i,j)},$
- $\forall x \in X (\forall i \in \mathbb{N} e_i^*(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0),$
- $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|e_i^*\| \cdot \|e_i\| < \infty.$

Every Banach space contains **basic sequences** (sequences which are a basis for their closed linear span).

Every normalized weakly null sequence has a subsequence which is basic.

Block bases

Many structural problems in infinite dimensional Banach space are of the form:

- Does every/certain infinite dimensional Banach spaces have an infinite dimensional subspace with some property (P)?

Block bases

Many structural problems in infinite dimensional Banach space are of the form:

- Does every/certain infinite dimensional Banach spaces have an infinite dimensional subspace with some property (P)?

If the property passes to subspaces and is stable "under small perturbation" the question becomes a question on **block bases**:

Block bases

Many structural problems in infinite dimensional Banach space are of the form:

- Does every/certain infinite dimensional Banach spaces have an infinite dimensional subspace with some property (P)?

If the property passes to subspaces and is stable "under small perturbation" the question becomes a question on **block bases**:
If (x_n) is a basis a sequence $(y_n) \subset \text{span}(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \setminus \{0\}$ is called **block basis of (x_n)** if

$$y_n = \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} a_j x_j, \text{ with } (a_j) \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ and } 0 = k_0 < k_1 < k_2 \dots$$

- Every infinite dimensional Banach space contains a basic sequence,
- and to every infinite dimensional subspace Y of a space X with basis (x_n) there is a block basis (y_i) of (x_i) whose span is “almost in Y ”, i.e. for given $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$

$$\sup \{ \text{dist}(z, Y) : z \in \text{span}(y_i : i \geq n), \|z\| = 1 \} \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

Thus aforementioned question takes the following form:

- Does every/certain basic sequences have a block basis with property (P)?

Equivalence Relations for Bases

Definition

Let (y_n) and (x_n) be two normalized basic sequences, we say (x_n) **C-dominates** (y_n) , if

$$\left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\|, \quad (a_i) \in c_{00},$$

and write $(y_n) \preceq_C (x_n)$.

We say (y_n) and (x_n) are **C-equivalent**, and write $(x_n) \sim_C (y_n)$, if $(x_n) \preceq_C (y_n)$ and $(y_n) \preceq_C (x_n)$.

It is easy to see that the ℓ_p and c_0 unit vector basis is equivalent to all of its normalized block bases.

It is easy to see that the ℓ_p and c_0 unit vector basis is equivalent to all of its normalized block bases.

Theorem (Zippin 1966)

If (x_n) is equivalent to all of its normalized blocks, then (x_n) is equivalent to the ℓ_p or c_0 unit vector basis.

It is easy to see that the ℓ_p and c_0 unit vector basis is equivalent to all of its normalized block bases.

Theorem (Zippin 1966)

If (x_n) is equivalent to all of its normalized blocks, then (x_n) is equivalent to the ℓ_p or c_0 unit vector basis.

Nevertheless, the following is open:

Problem

If the span of the blocks of a basis of a Banach space X are isomorphic to X , does it follow that X is isomorphic to ℓ_p ?

It is easy to see that the ℓ_p and c_0 unit vector basis is equivalent to all of its normalized block bases.

Theorem (Zippin 1966)

If (x_n) is equivalent to all of its normalized blocks, then (x_n) is equivalent to the ℓ_p or c_0 unit vector basis.

Nevertheless, the following is open:

Problem

If the span of the blocks of a basis of a Banach space X are isomorphic to X , does it follow that X is isomorphic to ℓ_p ?

Theorem (Komorowski and Tomczak-Jaegermann (1995) and Gowers (1996))

An infinite dimensional space which is isomorphic to all its infinite dimensional subspaces is isomorphic to Hilbert space.

Shrinking and Boundedly complete bases

Let X be a Banach space and X^* the continuous linear functionals on X . **Canonical embedding** (By Hahn Banach an isometric embedding):

$$j : X \rightarrow X^{**}, \quad x \mapsto j(x), \text{ with } j(x)(x^*) = x^*(x).$$

X is called **reflexive** if $j(X) = X^{**}$.

Shrinking and Boundedly complete bases

Let X be a Banach space and X^* the continuous linear functionals on X . **Canonical embedding** (By Hahn Banach an isometric embedding):

$$j : X \rightarrow X^{**}, \quad x \mapsto j(x), \text{ with } j(x)(x^*) = x^*(x).$$

X is called **reflexive** if $j(X) = X^{**}$.

Proposition

X reflexive $\iff X^*$ reflexive \iff
 $(Ball_X, w)$ compact. \iff all closed subspaces are reflexive.

Assume X has a basis (x_n) . What properties of (x_n) ensure that X is reflexive ?

Let X be reflexive with a normalized basis (x_n) .

- (x_n) is weakly null. Since every block basis is a basis of a subspace, every normalized block basis is weakly null.
 (x_n) is **shrinking**.

Assume X has a basis (x_n) . What properties of (x_n) ensure that X is reflexive ?

Let X be reflexive with a normalized basis (x_n) .

- (x_n) is weakly null. Since every block basis is a basis of a subspace, every normalized block basis is weakly null.
 (x_n) is **shrinking**.
- Assume that $(a_i) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| < \infty$.

Assume X has a basis (x_n) . What properties of (x_n) ensure that X is reflexive ?

Let X be reflexive with a normalized basis (x_n) .

- (x_n) is weakly null. Since every block basis is a basis of a subspace, every normalized block basis is weakly null.
 (x_n) is **shrinking**.
- Assume that $(a_i) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| < \infty$.
Thus, the partial sums $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N})$ have a convergent subnet (actual subsequence).

Assume X has a basis (x_n) . What properties of (x_n) ensure that X is reflexive ?

Let X be reflexive with a normalized basis (x_n) .

- (x_n) is weakly null. Since every block basis is a basis of a subspace, every normalized block basis is weakly null.
 (x_n) is **shrinking**.
- Assume that $(a_i) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| < \infty$.
Thus, the partial sums $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N})$ have a convergent subnet (actual subsequence).
Limit point y has to be of the form $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i x_i$.

Assume X has a basis (x_n) . What properties of (x_n) ensure that X is reflexive ?

Let X be reflexive with a normalized basis (x_n) .

- (x_n) is weakly null. Since every block basis is a basis of a subspace, every normalized block basis is weakly null.
 (x_n) is **shrinking**.
- Assume that $(a_i) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| < \infty$.
Thus, the partial sums $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i : n \in \mathbb{N})$ have a convergent subnet (actual subsequence).
Limit point y has to be of the form $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i x_i$.
Applying coordinate functionals: $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$.
Thus the partial sums converge. (**boundedly complete**)

These two properties characterize the basis of a reflexive space:

Proposition

Let X be a Banach space with a basis (x_n) . Then X is reflexive if and only if (x_n) is boundedly complete and shrinking.

Unconditional Bases

The basis (x_n) of a Banach space X is called **unconditional** if for every

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \in X$$

the convergence is unconditional.

$$\iff \exists c_u \text{ for all } (a_i) \in c_{00} \forall (\varepsilon_i) \in \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i a_i x_i \right\| \leq c_u \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \right\|$$

$$\iff \exists c_{su} \text{ for all } (a_i) \in c_{00} \forall (\varepsilon_i) \in \{0, +1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i a_i x_i \right\| \leq c_u \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i \right\|$$

James 1950: Let X be a Banach space with unconditional basis. Then X is reflexive, or contains c_0 or ℓ_1 .

Two steps:

- If (x_i) is not boundedly complete, X contains c_0 .
- If (x_i) is not shrinking, X contains ℓ_1 .

Example

The unit vector bases of c_0 and ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ are unconditional. The summing basis (s_n) of c_0 is not unconditional.

$$s_n = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ times}}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

($\|s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n\| = n$, but $\|s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - \dots \pm s_n\| = 1$)

The Haar basis of L_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is unconditional. For $p = 1$ this **is not true**. Actually L_1 has no unconditional basis.

The unconditional Basis problem: Does every infinite dimensional Banach space contain an infinite dimensional subspace which has a unconditional basis.

The unconditional Basis problem: Does every infinite dimensional Banach space contain an infinite dimensional subspace which has a unconditional basis.

Gowers and Maurey 1993: No

The unconditional Basis problem: Does every infinite dimensional Banach space contain an infinite dimensional subspace which has a unconditional basis.

Gowers and Maurey 1993: No

Does every infinite dimensional Banach space have an infinite dimensional subspace which is either reflexive, c_0 , or ℓ_1 ?

The unconditional Basis problem: Does every infinite dimensional Banach space contain an infinite dimensional subspace which has a unconditional basis.

Gowers and Maurey 1993: No

Does every infinite dimensional Banach space have an infinite dimensional subspace which is either reflexive, c_0 , or ℓ_1 ?

Gowers 1994: No

Ramsey's Theorem

Ramsey's Theorem is usually used in Banach space theory for the following type of problem:

Problem

Let (x_n) be a basic/normalized weakly null/ normalized weak Cauchy sequence etc. Is there a subsequence with a certain property (P)?

Ramsey's Theorem

Ramsey's Theorem is usually used in Banach space theory for the following type of problem:

Problem

Let (x_n) be a basic/normalized weakly null/ normalized weak Cauchy sequence etc. Is there a subsequence with a certain property (P)?

Often a result of this type involves **Ramsey's theorem**.

Let $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega = \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : |N| = \infty\}$, more generally
 $[M]^\omega = \{L \subset M : |L| = \infty\}$

Let $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega = \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : |N| = \infty\}$, more generally

$$[M]^\omega = \{L \subset M : |M| = \infty\}$$

Consider on $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ the product topology, i.e. identify $[N]^\omega$ with a subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ via $N \mapsto \chi_N$.

Let $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega = \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : |N| = \infty\}$, more generally

$$[M]^\omega = \{L \subset M : |M| = \infty\}$$

Consider on $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ the product topology, i.e. identify $[N]^\omega$ with a subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ via $N \mapsto \chi_N$.

Note that for a net $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ in $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$,

$$\lim_{i \in I} N_i = N \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists i_0 \in I \forall i \geq i_0$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cap N_i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cap N$$

Let $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega = \{N \subset \mathbb{N} : |N| = \infty\}$, more generally

$[M]^\omega = \{L \subset M : |M| = \infty\}$

Consider on $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ the product topology, i.e. identify $[M]^\omega$ with a subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ via $N \mapsto \chi_N$.

Note that for a net $\{N_i : i \in I\}$ in $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$,

$$\lim_{i \in I} N_i = N \iff \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists i_0 \in I \forall i \geq i_0$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cap N_i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cap N$$

Theorem (Ramsey 1930, Silver 1970, Galvin&Pikry 1973, Ellentuck 1974)

Assume $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is analytic (or closed).

Then there is an infinite $N \subset \mathbb{N}$ so that

Either $[N]^\omega \subset \mathcal{A}$ or $[N]^\omega \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.

Corollary

If $(\mathcal{A}_i : i = 1, 2 \dots n)$ is a finite partition of $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ into Borel sets, then there is an $i_0 \in \{1, 2 \dots n\}$ and an $N \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ so that $[N]^\omega \subset \mathcal{A}_{i_0}$.

Corollary

If $(\mathcal{A}_i : i = 1, 2 \dots n)$ is a finite partition of $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ into Borel sets, then there is an $i_0 \in \{1, 2 \dots n\}$ and an $N \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ so that $[N]^\omega \subset \mathcal{A}_{i_0}$.

Corollary ("Ramsey for analysts")

Let (K, d) be compact and metric and let $f : [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \rightarrow K$ be Borel. Let $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$. Then there exists an $x_0 \in K$ and an $N = \{n_1 < n_2, \dots\} \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ so that

$$d(f(M), x_0) < \varepsilon_k \text{ whenever } M \in [\{n_k, n_{k+1} \dots\}]^\omega.$$

Spreading Models

Definition

A basic sequence (e_i) is called **spreading** if for some constant $C \geq 1$ so that for all $(a_i) \in c_{00}$

$$\frac{1}{C} \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum a_i x_{n_i} \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\|,$$

it is called **subsymmetric** if it is unconditional and spreading.

Spreading Models

Definition

A basic sequence (e_i) is called **spreading** if for some constant $C \geq 1$ so that for all $(a_i) \in c_{00}$

$$\frac{1}{C} \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum a_i x_{n_i} \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum a_i x_i \right\|,$$

it is called **subsymmetric** if it is unconditional and spreading. Let X be a Banach space and (x_i) be a sequence. A spreading sequence (e_i) is called **the spreading model of (x_n)** if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^k$

$$\lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_{n_i} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i \right\|.$$

Proposition (Brunel and Sucheston, 1973)

Every non compact seminormalized sequence (x_n) in a Banach spaces X has a subsequence with a spreading model (e_i) .

Proposition (Brunel and Sucheston, 1973)

Every non compact seminormalized sequence (x_n) in a Banach spaces X has a subsequence with a spreading model (e_i) .

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ there is a a subsequence (n_i) so that

$$\left| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_{n_{\ell_i}} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i \right\| \right| < \varepsilon_k,$$

whenever $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_k$, and $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition (Brunel and Sucheston, 1973)

Every non compact seminormalized sequence (x_n) in a Banach spaces X has a subsequence with a spreading model (e_i) .

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ there is a a subsequence (n_i) so that

$$\left| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_{n_{\ell_i}} \right\| - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i \right\| \right| < \varepsilon_k,$$

whenever $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_k$, and $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Apply “Ramsey for Analysts” to the functions

$$f_k : [\mathbb{N}]^k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k = \{s : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ seminorm}\}$$

$$(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \mapsto \left[(a_i)_{i=1}^k \mapsto \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_{n_i} \right\| \right].$$

Proposition

If (x_n) is a semi normalized weakly null sequence, it has a subsequence which has an unconditional spreading model.

Rosenthal's ℓ_1 Theorem

Theorem

Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in a Banach space X . Then (x_n) has a subsequence (y_n) so that, either (y_n) is w -Cauchy or (y_n) is equivalent to the ℓ_1 unit vector basis.

Consider $X \subset C(\text{Ball}(X^*), w^*) = S$. The Crucial Lemma

Lemma

Let (A_n, B_n) with $A_n, B_n \subset S$ disjoint for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a subsequence (A_{n_i}, B_{n_i}) which is
Either **Boolean independent**, i.e.

$$\bigcap_{i \in I_1} A_i \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_2} B_i \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever } I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ are disjoint}$$

Or **convergent**

$$\forall s \in S \quad \{i \in \mathbb{N} : s \in A_{n_i}\} \text{ or } \{i \in \mathbb{N} : s \in B_{n_i}\} \text{ finite.}$$

Partial Unconditionality

Example (Maurey Rosenthal 1977)

There is a normalized weakly sequence which has no unconditional basic subsequence.

(proof uses idea of coding)

Partial Unconditionality

Example (Maurey Rosenthal 1977)

There is a normalized weakly sequence which has no unconditional basic subsequence.

(proof uses idea of coding)

Problem

Given a basic sequence (x_i) . Can we pass to a subsequence, so that there is a constant C so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in E} a_i y_i \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\|$$

for certain E 's and/or certain coefficients ?

Theorem (Elton 1980)

For each $1 > \delta > 0$ there exists $K(\delta) < \infty$ (actually $K(\delta) \sim_C \log(1/\delta)$) with the following property.

Theorem (Elton 1980)

*For each $1 > \delta > 0$ there exists $K(\delta) < \infty$ (actually $K(\delta) \sim_C \log(1/\delta)$) with the following property.
Let (x_n) be a normalized weakly null sequence.*

Theorem (Elton 1980)

For each $1 > \delta > 0$ there exists $K(\delta) < \infty$ (actually $K(\delta) \sim_C \log(1/\delta)$) with the following property.

Let (x_n) be a normalized weakly null sequence.

Then there exists a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that if $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq [-1, 1]$ and $I \subseteq \{i : |a_i| \geq \delta\}$ then

$$\left\| \sum_I a_i y_i \right\| \leq K(\delta) \left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\|.$$

Theorem (Elton 1980)

For each $1 > \delta > 0$ there exists $K(\delta) < \infty$ (actually $K(\delta) \sim_C \log(1/\delta)$) with the following property.

Let (x_n) be a normalized weakly null sequence.

Then there exists a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that if $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq [-1, 1]$ and $I \subseteq \{i : |a_i| \geq \delta\}$ then

$$\left\| \sum_I a_i y_i \right\| \leq K(\delta) \left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\|.$$

Problem: Is $K(\delta)$ independent of δ ?

Theorem (Elton 1980)

For each $1 > \delta > 0$ there exists $K(\delta) < \infty$ (actually $K(\delta) \sim_C \log(1/\delta)$) with the following property.

Let (x_n) be a normalized weakly null sequence.

Then there exists a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that if $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq [-1, 1]$ and $I \subseteq \{i : |a_i| \geq \delta\}$ then

$$\left\| \sum_I a_i y_i \right\| \leq K(\delta) \left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\|.$$

Problem: Is $K(\delta)$ independent of δ ?

Dilworth, Kalton and Kutzarova 2003: Yes, if (x_n) does not have a subsequence whose spreading model is equivalent to the c_0 -unit vector bases.

Theorem (Odell 1993 “Schreier Unconditionality”)

If (x_n) is a normalized weakly null sequence and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there is a subsequence (y_n) which is $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -Schreier *unconditional* : for each

$$E \in \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : \min F \geq |F|\} =: \mathcal{S}_1$$

so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in E} a_i y_i \right\| \leq (2 + \varepsilon) \left\| \sum a_i y_i \right\|$$

Remark: Statement becomes wrong if one replaces \mathcal{S}_1 by

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i : n \leq \min E_i \text{ \& } E_i \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\}.$$

Theorem (Argyros, Mercourakis and Tsarpalias 1998)

Every normalized weakly null sequence (x_n) has a **convexly unconditional** subsequence (y_n) , meaning that for all $\delta > 0$ there is a c_δ so that:

If $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\delta < \left\| \sum_{i \in F} \lambda_i y_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i \in F} |\lambda_i| = 1.$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i y_i \right\| \geq c_\delta.$$

Problem

Does Ramsey's Theorem extend to Banach spaces? Let

$\mathcal{A} \subset S_X$, $\dim(X) = \infty$.

Is there an infinite dimensional subspace Y so that $S_Y \subset \mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A} \cap S_Y = \emptyset$?

Problem

Does Ramsey's Theorem extend to Banach spaces? Let

$\mathcal{A} \subset S_X$, $\dim(X) = \infty$.

Is there an infinite dimensional subspace Y so that $S_Y \subset \mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A} \cap S_Y = \emptyset$?

Answer: of course not!

Problem

Does Ramsey's Theorem extend to Banach spaces? Let

$\mathcal{A} \subset S_X$, $\dim(X) = \infty$.

Is there an infinite dimensional subspace Y so that $S_Y \subset \mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A} \cap S_Y = \emptyset$?

Answer: of course not!

Example

(x_i) basis of X . For $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^*(x)x_i \in S_X$ let $i_0(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the minimum of all i 's in \mathbb{N} for which $|x_i^*(x)| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j^*(x)|$.

Then let

$$A = \{x \in S_X : x_{i_0(x)}^*(x) > 0\} \text{ and } B = \{x \in S_X : x_{i_0(x)}^*(x) < 0\}.$$

More sensible question:

Problem

Assume $A \subset S_X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Is there a infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$, so that

$$S_Y \subset A_\varepsilon \text{ or } S_Y \subset (S_X \setminus A)_\varepsilon?$$

More sensible question:

Problem

Assume $A \subset S_X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Is there a infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$, so that

$$S_Y \subset A_\varepsilon \text{ or } S_Y \subset (S_X \setminus A)_\varepsilon?$$

Equivalently, let $f : S_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz/uniformly continuous, and $\varepsilon > 0$, is there an infinite dimensional $Y \subset X$ so that

$$\text{osc}(f, S_Y) = \sup_{y, z \in S_Y} |f(z) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon?$$

More sensible question:

Problem

Assume $A \subset S_X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Is there a infinite dimensional subspace $Y \subset X$, so that

$$S_Y \subset A_\varepsilon \text{ or } S_Y \subset (S_X \setminus A)_\varepsilon?$$

Equivalently, let $f : S_X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz/uniformly continuous, and $\varepsilon > 0$, is there an infinite dimensional $Y \subset X$ so that

$$\text{osc}(f, S_Y) = \sup_{y, z \in S_Y} |f(z) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon?$$

How about, if f is an equivalent norm?

Definition

Let X be a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\|\cdot\|'$ be an equivalent norm, $\lambda > 1$.

Definition

Let X be a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ be an equivalent norm, $\lambda > 1$.

We say that $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ is a λ -distortion of $\|\cdot\|$ if for all infinite dimensional subspaces $Y \subset X$,

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\|y_1\|\|}{\|\|y_2\|\|} : \|y_1\| = \|y_2\| = 1 \right\} \geq \lambda.$$

Definition

Let X be a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\|\!\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|\!\|$ be an equivalent norm, $\lambda > 1$.

We say that $\|\!\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|\!\|$ is a λ -distortion of $\|\cdot\|$ if for all infinite dimensional subspaces $Y \subset X$,

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\!\|\!\|y_1\|\!\|\!\|}{\|\!\|\!\|y_2\|\!\|\!\|} : \|y_1\| = \|y_2\| = 1 \right\} \geq \lambda.$$

We say that X is λ -distortable if such an equivalent norm exists and

that X is **distortable** if there is a $\lambda > 1$ for which X is λ -distortable,

and we say that **X is arbitrary distortable** if for all $\lambda > 1$ X is λ -distortable.

Theorem (James 1964)

c_0 and ℓ_1 are not distortable.

Idea of proof (for ℓ_1)

Let $||| \cdot |||$ equivalent norm on ℓ_1

$$r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{ |||x||| : x \in \text{span}(e_i : i \geq n), \|x\| = 1 \} > 0,$$

choose block basis (y_i) with $|||y_i||| \sim r$. Then

$$||| \sum a_i y_i ||| \sim r \text{ whenever } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i| = 1.$$

Tsirelson's space

Theorem (Tsirelson 1974)

There is a separable space T which does not contain any copy of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, or c_0 .

Remark

This space was the first space known to be distortable. We will present the construction of its dual which was considered by Figiel and Johnson, 1974.

There is a norm on c_{00} which satisfies the **implicit equation**

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Where:

For $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite and $x = \sum a_i e_i \in c_{00}$: $E(x) = \sum_{i \in E} a_i e_i$,
 $n \leq E_1 < E_2 \dots E_n$ means $n \leq \min E_1 \leq \max E_2 < \min E_2 \dots$

Indeed define by induction: $\|x\|_0 = \max |x_i|$ text and

$$\|x\|_{n+1} = \|x\|_n \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|_n.$$

Then take $\|x\| := \max \|x\|_n$.

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Sketch

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Sketch

1. ℓ_1 is finitely block represented in any block space Y of T , i.e.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \text{block}(y_i)_{i=1}^n \quad (y_i)_{i=1}^n \sim_{(1+\varepsilon)} \ell_1^n \text{un.vect.basis.}$$

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Sketch

1. ℓ_1 is finitely block represented in any block space Y of T , i.e.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \text{block}(y_i)_{i=1}^n \quad (y_i)_{i=1}^n \sim_{(1+\varepsilon)} \ell_1^n \text{ un. vect. basis.}$$

2. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\| \|x\| \|_\ell = \max_{E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_\ell} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|E_i(x)\|.$$

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{n \leq E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Sketch

1. ℓ_1 is finitely block represented in any block space Y of T , i.e.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, n \in \mathbb{N} \exists \text{block}(y_i)_{i=1}^n \quad (y_i)_{i=1}^n \sim_{(1+\varepsilon)} \ell_1^n \text{un.vect.basis.}$$

2. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|x\|_\ell = \max_{E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_\ell} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|E_i(x)\|.$$

3. If $n \gg \ell$ and $y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, (x_i) block then $\|y\|_\ell \leq 1/2$

4. If $x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $(x_i) \sim_{1+\varepsilon} \ell_1^n$ un.vect.basis, then

$$\|x\| \sim 1 \text{ and } \|x\|_2 \sim \frac{1}{2}$$

4. If $x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $(x_i) \sim_{1+\varepsilon} \ell_1^n$ un.vect.basis, then

$$\|x\| \sim 1 \text{ and } \| \|x\| \|_2 \sim \frac{1}{2}$$

5. If $x = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i$, and $y = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i$, with $n_2 \gg \max \text{supp} x$, then

$$\|x + y\| \sim \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } \| \|x + y\| \| \sim 1.$$

Example (Sch, 1991)

Consider the norm on c_{00} given by the following implicit norm

$$\|x\| = \|x\|_{c_0} \vee \sup_{\ell \geq 2, E_1 < E_2 \dots E_\ell} \frac{1}{\log_2(\ell + 1)} \sum \|E_i(x)\|.$$

Then the completion of c_{00} under that norm is arbitrary distortable.

Theorem (Milman 1971)

Every Banach space not containing ℓ_p or c_0 has a distortable subspace.

Theorem (Milman 1971)

Every Banach space not containing ℓ_p or c_0 has a distortable subspace.

Theorem (Odell and Sch 1994)

ℓ_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is arbitrary distortable.

Theorem (Milman 1971)

Every Banach space not containing ℓ_p or c_0 has a distortable subspace.

Theorem (Odell and Sch 1994)

ℓ_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is arbitrary distortable.

Problem

Are there spaces which are distortable but not arbitrarily distortable? Is Tsirelson's space such a space?

Example (Argyros and Deliyanni 1997)

There is an arbitrary distortable Banach space, the spreading models all of its block bases are (uniformly) equivalent to ℓ_1 .

Remark

The fact that ℓ_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is distortable, implies that there is also a Lipschitz function on ℓ_1 which does not stabilize on an infinite dimensional subspace.

But

Theorem (Gowers 1992)

Every Lipschitz function on S_{c_0} stabilizes on infinite dimensional subspaces.

Proof uses a “vector space” version of Glaser’s theorem that compact semi groups have idempotent elements.

Gowers' dichotomy Theorem

Restatement of Ramsey's Theorem:

Consider game between two players: Let $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ closed

Player I chooses $N_1 \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, Player II: $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$

Player I chooses $N_2 \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, Player II: $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

\vdots

Player I wins if $\{n_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Gowers' dichotomy Theorem

Restatement of Ramsey's Theorem:

Consider game between two players: Let $\mathcal{A} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ closed

Player I chooses $N_1 \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, Player II: $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$

Player I chooses $N_2 \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, Player II: $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

\vdots

Player I wins if $\{n_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

One way to interpret Galvin & Prikry's proof:

Either $\exists N \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \forall M \in [N]^\omega$ Player I has winning strategy on M

In that case there is $\exists N' \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \quad [N']^\omega \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Or $\forall N \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \exists M \in [N]^\omega$ Player II has winning strategy.

Then $\forall N \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega \exists M \in [N]^\omega \quad \mathcal{A} \cap [M]^\omega = \emptyset$.

Gowers

2002: For bases and block bases only the “Either” is true.

Gowers

2002: For bases and block bases only the “Either” is true. We

consider similar game: $\mathcal{A} \subset S_X^\omega = \text{seq}$ in S_X Borel.

Player I chooses $X_1 \subset X$, block subspace Player II: $x_1 \in S_{X_1}$

Player I chooses $X_2 \subset X$, Player II: $x_2 \in S_{X_2}$

⋮

Player I wins if $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Gowers

2002: For bases and block bases only the “Either” is true. We consider similar game: $\mathcal{A} \subset S_X^\omega = \text{seq}$ in S_X Borel.

Player I chooses $X_1 \subset X$, block subspace Player II: $x_1 \in S_{X_1}$

Player I chooses $X_2 \subset X$, Player II: $x_2 \in S_{X_2}$

⋮

Player I wins if $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

For $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_i) \subset (0, 1)$, we put

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \{(z_i) \subset S_X : \exists (x_i) \in \mathcal{A} : \|x_i - z_i\| < \varepsilon_i\}.$$

Theorem (Gowers 2002)

If on each infinite dimensional subspace Y and for any $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_j) \subset (0, 1)$, Player I has a winning strategy for $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$, then for each $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_j) \subset (0, 1)$ there is a block space Y so that every normalized block of Y is in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$.

Problem (Universality Problem)

Given a class \mathcal{C} of separable Banach spaces (we can think of it as a subset of all the subspaces of $C[0, 1]$).

*Is there an element X in \mathcal{C} , or in some related class \mathcal{C}' , so that X is **universal for \mathcal{C}** , i.e. every Y in \mathcal{C} embeds (isomorphically) in X ?*

Problem (Universality Problem)

Given a class \mathcal{C} of separable Banach spaces (we can think of it as a subset of all the subspaces of $C[0, 1]$).

*Is there an element X in \mathcal{C} , or in some related class \mathcal{C}' , so that X is **universal for \mathcal{C}** , i.e. every Y in \mathcal{C} embeds (isomorphically) in X ?*

Often this problem is easier to solve if one restricts one self to spaces with bases or, more generally with finite dimensional decompositions.

Problem (Universality Problem)

Given a class \mathcal{C} of separable Banach spaces (we can think of it as a subset of all the subspaces of $C[0, 1]$).

*Is there an element X in \mathcal{C} , or in some related class \mathcal{C}' , so that X is **universal for \mathcal{C}** , i.e. every Y in \mathcal{C} embeds (isomorphically) in X ?*

Often this problem is easier to solve if one restricts one self to spaces with bases or, more generally with finite dimensional decompositions.

Definition (Finite Dimensional Decompositions)

A sequence of finite dimensional subspaces E_n of a Banach space X is called **Finite Dimensional Decomposition of X (FDD)** if for all $x \in X$ there is a unique sequence (x_i) , with $x_i \in E_i$, so that $X = \sum x_i$.

Assuming a universality problem was solved within the class of Banach spaces with bases/FDDs, the general universality problem becomes an **Embedding Problem**.

Assuming a universality problem was solved within the class of Banach spaces with bases/FDDs, the general universality problem becomes an **Embedding Problem**.

Problem (Embedding Problem)

Assume X is a Banach space in some class \mathcal{C} , can it be embedded into a space Z in \mathcal{C} , or some related class \mathcal{C}' , with a basis/FDD?

Examples

The space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, $C[0, 1]$, is universal for all separable Banach spaces (Banach).

Pełczyński's: ('69) There is a Banach space having a basis/unconditional basis, which is complementably universal for all Banach spaces having basis/unconditional bases.

Szlenk 1968: There is no reflexive, separable space universal for all reflexive separable spaces.

Sketch of Szlenk's argument: Define an "index" which assigns to each reflexive separable space X an ordinal $\alpha = \text{Sz}(X) < \omega_1$ so that

- $\text{Sz}(X)$ invariant under equivalent renormings,
- $\text{Sz}(X)$ is monotone,
- for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is a reflexive X so that $\text{Sz}(X) \geq \alpha$.

Bourgain 1980: actually every separable space which is universal for all reflexive spaces must contain $C[0, 1]$, and, thus, must contain **all** separable Banach spaces.

Problem (Bourgain 1980)

Is there a separable reflexive space which is universal for all separable uniform convex (super reflexive) spaces?

Problem (Bourgain 1980)

Is there a separable reflexive space which is universal for all separable uniform convex (super reflexive) spaces?

- **Pruss** 1980, yes for spaces with FDDs.

Problem (Bourgain 1980)

Is there a separable reflexive space which is universal for all separable uniform convex (super reflexive) spaces?

- **Pruss** 1980, yes for spaces with FDDs.
- **Odell & Sch** 2005. yes in general (proving embedding)
actually there is a space X universal for all super reflexive spaces so that $Sz(X) \vee Sz(X^*) = \omega^2$.

Problem (Bourgain 1980)

Is there a separable reflexive space which is universal for all separable uniform convex (super reflexive) spaces?

- **Pruss** 1980, yes for spaces with FDDs.
- **Odell & Sch** 2005. yes in general (proving embedding)
actually there is a space X universal for all super reflexive spaces so that $Sz(X) \vee Sz(X^*) = \omega^2$.
- **Odell, Sch & Zsak** 2006: For any $\alpha < \omega_1$ there is a space X universal for the class of spaces Y with $Sz(Y) \vee Sz(Y^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha\omega}$.
Moreover $Sz(X) \vee Sz(X^*) \leq \omega^{\alpha\omega+1}$ (and this is best possible)

Key observation: Let Z be a Banach space with FDD (E_j) and let

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_Z^\omega = \{(z_i) : z_i \in \mathcal{S}_Z\}$$

Define for $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_n) \subset (0, 1)$ the $\bar{\varepsilon}$ -fattening of \mathcal{A}

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \{(y_i) : \exists (z_i) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \|z_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon_i\}$$

Consider the following **infinite asymptotic game** :

Player I chooses $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, Player II: $z_1 \in \mathcal{S}_Z \cap \bigoplus_{i=n_1}^{\infty} E_i$

Player I chooses $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, Player II: $z_2 \in \mathcal{S}_Z \cap \bigoplus_{i=n_2}^{\infty} E_i$

...

Player I has won if $(z_i) \in \mathcal{A}$

Assume \mathcal{A} is closed (Odell & Sch, 2002) or only co-analytic (Rosenthal, 2006)

The following are equivalent:

- $\forall \bar{\epsilon}$ Player 1 has a winning strategy for $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\epsilon}}$
- $\forall \bar{\epsilon}$ there is a blocking (F_i) of (E_i) so that every skipped block basis (x_i) with respect to (F_i) is in $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\epsilon}}$.

Set theoretic approach to universality problems

Bossard 1995 considered the **Effros Borel space of separable infinite Banach spaces**

$$\text{Subs} = \{X \subset C[0, 1] : X \text{ is closed linear sub space}\},$$

and if $X \in \text{Subs}$

$$\text{Subs}(X) = \{Y : Y \text{ is closed linear sub space}\},$$

- $\text{Subs}(X)$ is a Borel subset in $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{F \subset X : F \text{ is closed}\}$
Thus $\text{Subs}(X)$ is a standard Borel space.
- $\text{Subs}_\infty(X) = \{X \in \text{Subs} : \dim(X) = \infty\}$ is a Borel subset in $\mathcal{F}(X)$.
- The relation $\{(Y, X) : Y \text{ is a closed subspace of } X\}$ is Borel in $\text{Subs} \times \text{Subs}$.
- For $X \in \text{Subs}$ the set $\{Y \in \text{Subs} : Y \text{ embeds into } X\}$ is analytic.
- The isomorphism relation \simeq is analytic but non Borel, i.e. the set $\{(X, Y) : X, Y \in \text{Subs}, X \simeq Y\}$ is analytic in $\text{Subs} \times \text{Subs}$ but not Borel.

Bossard proved the following generalization of Bourgain's result

Theorem (Bossard, 2002)

If \mathcal{A} is an analytic class in Subs which contains all reflexive spaces, then \mathcal{A} contains a copy of $C[0, 1]$.

The Connection of Brossard's approach to universality problems was observed by Argyros and Dodos.

Definition (Argyros & Dodos, 2005)

A class \mathcal{C} of separable Banach spaces (identified with subsets of Subs) is said to be **strongly bounded** if for every analytic set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ (analytic in Subs) there is an element in \mathcal{C} which is universal for \mathcal{A} .

Theorem (Argyros & Dodos, 2005)

The class of separable reflexive spaces with basis is strongly bounded.

The class of spaces with separable dual and basis is strongly bounded.

Theorem (Dodos & Ferenczi, 2007)

*The class of separable reflexive spaces are strongly bounded.
The class of spaces with separable dual and basis is strongly bounded.*

Theorem (Dodos & Ferenczi, 2007)

*The class of separable reflexive spaces are strongly bounded.
The class of spaces with separable dual and basis is strongly bounded.*

Corollary (Dodos & Ferenczi, 2007)

- *Another proof of Bourgain's conjecture.*
- *For every countable $\xi < \omega_1$ there exists a space X_ξ having countable Szlenk index which is universal for all the spaces with Szlenk index $\leq \xi$.*

Problems

- *How different are the two approaches? Really different or the same proof in two different languages?*
- *Is there a set theoretic approach to get the more quantitative results?*
- *Is there a set theoretical approach to get the universality result for*

$$\text{Sz}(X) \vee \text{Sz}(X^*)?$$